

should summarily dismiss the plaintiff's action. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on these matters, and the court incorporates such without a full recitation.

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report. He filed timely objections to the Report on September 10, 2008 in the form of a letter.

In his objection memorandum, plaintiff clarifies his contention that these monitoring devices were placed in his ears "at birth." Beyond that, the objection memorandum is essentially unintelligible and merely serves to reconfirm the Magistrate Judge's initial conclusion that the assertions in the complaint "cannot be given even momentary credibility."

Moreover, the Magistrate Judge points out that the plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies with regard to his request for FBI records; he has not filed the pre-suit notice required to bring an action under the Federal Tort Claims Act; several of the defendants enjoy various types of immunity; and several of the private individuals are not subject to a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, the Report, and the objections thereto, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation to be proper. Accordingly, the Report is incorporated herein by reference and the case is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 6, 2008
Columbia, South Carolina



Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.
United States District Judge